President’s Column, by Barbara Glennan

Holiday and Election Greetings!

AALL Executive Board Election Has a SANDALL Member Candidate!
For those of you who are AALL members, I’d like to remind you that the election for Executive Board is currently underway and ends on December 2. There is a SANDALL member on the ballot!! Find out who it is and vote before the deadline: https://vote.aallnet.org/aall/.

Fall Workshop!
The SANDALL Fall Workshop on ‘Technology for Disintermediated Learning was a big success at the University of San Diego Legal Research Center on October 25.

- Katie Brown of the AALL Executive Board gave a talk on the function of the Executive Board and the rewards of getting involved with AALL.
- Michael Saint-Onge of Lexis-Nexis gave tips on techniques for successful e-teaching to adult learners.
- Jane Larrington, Judith Lihosit, and Anna Russell of the University of San Diego discussed the pros and cons of various types of software used for ‘classroom flipping’, and lead a hands-on exercise in adding audio to PowerPoint slides.
- Vice President Shannon Malcolm and USD’s Michele Knapp did a great job of putting this workshop together.

Lunch was generously sponsored by CEB and LexisNexis. Docket Navigator also sponsored the event, including the Kindle HD door prize. CEB’s Suzanne Smith had a table, and AALL sent Membership Services Coordinator Hannah Phelps to staff a table at the workshop. Hanna gave away a free membership to AALL as well as free registration at the 2014 Annual Meeting in San Antonio. Door prizes of a Kindle Fire HD and tickets to USD football game were also given away. Katie Brown sent a follow up thank you note to the SANDALL membership, which is reprinted in this newsletter. If you missed the workshop but want to check out the content, go to the SANDALL website under News & Events/Educational Event materials to view the recordings!

Committee Vacancies
We have a shortage of volunteers for our committees! Nominations and Social Responsibilities are in particular need. Working on a committee can be fun and very rewarding and generally does not take a lot of time. If you are interested, contact me directly, or view a list of committees and chairs at the SANDALL website: http://www.sandallnet.org/members-information/officers-committees.

B3/SANDALL Buddies
I had breakfast at the Studio Diner with the B3/SANDALL Buddies group last Saturday and had a great time. We chatted informally about a number...
of things. This group has agreed to be the liaison to Library School students for SANDALL. They are also going to look into a creation of a ‘job shadowing’ program for students *or* experienced librarians who want to learn what it is like to work in a different environment. If you are a library school student and want to connect with SANDALL or are interested in job shadowing contact Carol Hyne (chyne@irell.com) for more information. Come have breakfast with this group at their next meeting! B3 meets once a month at the Studio Diner. Watch your email for the next announcement or contact Carol directly for the date. All SANDALL members are welcome!

**Holiday Party**
The holiday party is coming up fast! It will be held in about two weeks on Tuesday December 3. Due to popular demand, we are back at the *Top of the Park* this year. See the ad in this newsletter for information on tickets. Thanks to *Vice President Shannon Malcolm* for putting it together.

**Questions/Concerns?**
Please feel free to contact me at any time with any question or concerns about SANDALL, suggestions for programs, etc.

Happy Holidays to all, hope to see everyone on December 3!

---

**AALL Executive Board Member Katie Brown visited the SANDALL and presented during the Fall Workshop at USD on October 25. Above is a thank you note from Katie to SANDALL.**
Going to Seattle this past summer for AALL was an exciting opportunity. In addition to some exciting programs, such as responsive web design, screencasting and the new Congress.gov legislative information platform (the successor to Thomas.gov which is just now beginning to supplant Thomas), I got to see my far-flung colleagues in the Ninth Circuit Library branch in Seattle. One of other highlights of my time in Seattle was the State Court and County reception. Who knew that the section was 40 years old?!

But as with any annual meeting, there was actual education mixed in with the various parties, receptions, and social hours. One of my favorite presentations was the Responsive Web Design panel, which advocated taking a "mobile-first" approach to web design. They contended that building one website that is scalable to various screen sizes is a better approach than having a separate mobile site. Because of the prevalence of people accessing websites (and intranet sites) on phones and tablets with widely varying screen sizes, to make a website truly relevant to our patrons and their use patterns, that website has got to be responsive to the size of the screen they are accessing the page on.

One of the other programs I really appreciated was the one on screencasting. A screencast is a recording of part or all of a computer screen which often contains audio narration. It is a way to “show” someone who is at a distance what you are doing on your computer screen. Though I had been doing internal screencasts for a while using Adobe Captivate, I was intrigued to find that there are other programs, such as Camtasia, Snagit and Jing that are capable of doing screencasts (and at a far lower price than the expensive Captivate line – some even free!). I took some tips away from this session too, that I have (or plan to) integrate into my screencasts. These are: 1) Create a script for the narrative, as this tends to eliminate the ’ah’s and ’um’s. 2) Speak slowly, since people need time to think about what you are saying as you say it. 3) Use a good microphone for better audio quality, 4) Take your time in creating the video. And, 5) Close with a summary so people can review what they have just learned.

I greatly appreciate the generosity of SANDALL in providing me a grant to attend the AALL annual meeting. I had a wonderful time there, returning to work with new ideas, new perspectives on old practices, and important information to implement upon my return.

---

Raise Your Profile and Communicate Value through LinkedIn: AALL December Webinar

Developing a dynamic professional presence that communicates your value proposition is important. As a legal information professional, LinkedIn can be used to develop your influence as an expert and connect you to a wider network of professionals. This fast-paced training session will focus on how to develop a positive and persuasive LinkedIn profile utilizing proven and recognized best practices. Special attention will be given to raising your visibility and ability to be located by new and important connections. We will discuss how LinkedIn can be used as a research tool. Leave with a detailed, practical to-do list of steps to raise your online footprint substantially to maximize your visibility and profitability.

Register by December. Free for current AALL members
SANDALL Holiday Party 2013

Tuesday, December 03, 2013
5:30p.m. – 8:30p.m.
Top of the Park
The Penthouse at the Inn at the Park
525 Spruce Street (at Sixth Avenue)
San Diego, CA 92103

Celebrate the Holidays with SANDALL!
The Top of the Park boasts unobstructed panoramic views of San Diego Bay, Point Loma, Downtown and the hills of Mexico from the outside decks.
Menu & Parking Information: http://tinyurl.com/mykpq24

Social Hour: 5:30-6:30 p.m.
Buffet Dinner: 6:30-8:30 pm

REGISTRATION INFORMATION:
SANDALL/SCALL/NOCALL Members: $30.00 for Individuals ($55.00 for Couples*)
SANDALL Student/Retiree/Unemployed: $25.00
Non-Members: $35.00

*New this year, to help make it more affordable for members to bring spouses and significant others to the party, we are offering this special rate.

• To register by check go to http://tinyurl.com/lluclcf
• To register by credit card go to: http://tinyurl.com/kh8txm4

There is a nominal fee for processing payments by credit-card; you may pay by check to avoid this fee.

QUESTIONS?
Contact Shannon Malcolm at smalcolm@qualcomm.com.
Member Profile

Michele Knapp
Reference & Interlibrary Loan
Librarian, University of San Diego
Legal Research Center

Where were you born? Where did you go to school?
I was born in Oakland, California. I studied comparative literature as an undergraduate student at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor. I earned my law degree from DePaul University College of Law in Chicago. Recently, I returned to school at the University of Washington in Seattle for my MLIS with a Certificate in Law Librarianship.

What got you interested in librarianship?
I have always been an avid reader and writer. Some of my fondest childhood memories are of visiting my public library, flipping through the card catalog, and browsing the stacks. I have always felt most comfortable surrounded by books. As an appellate attorney, my work consisted mostly of research and writing. After leaving the practice of law, I volunteered and worked at public libraries. Eventually, I realized I could have the best of both worlds by combining my work in libraries and law.

What do you like about your job the most?
I most enjoy the diverse array of things I do and people I work with. I enjoy the detective work involved with interlibrary loan and working with colleagues at other libraries. I love traditional reference work, addressing questions and digging for answers for law students and faculty. Along with my colleagues at USD Legal Research Center, I teach federal legislative history to Advanced Legal Research students and the use of practice materials to students in the Legal Clinics. Members of our Reference Department work amazingly well as a team.

Favorite magazine:
Poets & Writers

Last book you read:
I am just about to finish Police by Jo Nesbo, a popular Norwegian crime novelist. I love a good detective story.

Where would we find you on a Saturday?
Sitting on my couch, watching the Michigan Wolverines play football or basketball.

Music?
Classical – I especially enjoy Vivaldi and Mozart

Last vacation:
In October, I travelled to Albuquerque for the International Balloon Fiesta. Dozens of colorful hot air balloons against
the background of the blue sky and pink and brown mountains is one of the most beautiful sights I have ever seen. I recommend it highly.

Favorite quote:
Life isn’t about finding yourself. Life is about creating yourself. – George Bernard Shaw

Pets?
Sadly, I have no pets. My partner is allergic to cats and dogs. I guess I could get a goldfish, but it would not be quite the same as having a cuddly fur ball in my lap.

SANDALL Grant Supports Member at Resource Sharing Conference

By Michele Knapp, Reference & Interlibrary Loan Librarian, University of San Diego Legal Research Center

The SANDALL Grants Committee and Board generously awarded me a grant to attend the Northwest Interlibrary Loan and Resource Sharing Conference (NWILL) in Portland, Oregon in September. It was a fantastic experience and one I hope to repeat in the future. Although it sounds like a regional conference, NWILL is not limited to Pacific Northwesterners. In its twelfth year, NWILL had its largest turnout ever, attracting nearly 200 attendees, some from as far away as Tennessee, Georgia, New York, and Eastern Canada. Most were from academic or public libraries, with a few corporate libraries represented. I met librarians and resource sharing specialists from five other law libraries. It was a great opportunity to meet colleagues from many libraries across North America.

Xavier Helgesen, Co-Founder and Chairman of Better World Books, was the Keynote Speaker. He inspired participants to think outside the box to come up with new and effective means of collaboration across institutions and libraries. Program topics included open access, assessment of ILL statistics, OCLC’s migration from WorldCat Resource Sharing to WorldShare ILL, use of digital content, how to best utilize student employees, and more. (You can access links to program materials on NWILL’s website at http://www.nwill.org/node/3.) The speakers were engaging and well-versed in their respective subject areas. Questions and conversations among audience members were encouraged, leading to creative ideas and new relationships. I learned a great deal about how I can improve resource sharing operations at my institution, especially from those whose libraries and ILL operations are quite different than mine.

In addition to the educational and networking benefits, the venue was beautiful. NWILL was held at the Portland Community College Sylvania Campus, less than 10 miles from downtown in Southwest Portland. September offers some of the area’s best weather, with sunshine and warm temperatures. In my downtime, I toured the library at Lewis & Clark Law School, visited Powell’s Books, and watched a sunset from Mount Tabor. All in all, it was a fabulous experience.

Thank you to the SANDALL Grants Committee and Board for supporting my participation in NWILL. I recommend the conference to anyone involved in resource sharing and would be happy to share more details of my experience with any who are interested. I hope my attendance at NWILL will help lead the way toward stronger partnerships among SANDALL libraries.
SANDALL Members Tour New San Diego Central Library

By Michele Knapp, Reference & Interlibrary Loan Librarian, University of San Diego Legal Research Center

After years of waiting, San Diegans welcomed the new Central Library this fall. SANDALL members enjoyed the benefit of a tour last month. The library boasts a beautiful new building in the heart of downtown, gorgeous views, and, most importantly, the space to offer improved resources and services to patrons.

Just off of the three-story grand entrance is a 350-seat theater and performing arts space, a garden courtyard with a café and outdoor seating, and a video wall featuring multiple screens and images of San Diego. The Library Shop is located on the first floor. Run by the Friends of the Library and the Library Foundation, proceeds benefit library programs and services. It is a great place to find unique holiday gifts while supporting your local library. Other features include a beach-themed teen center, art gallery, rare book room, baseball research center, and 2,000 square-foot reading room. Reading spaces are comfortable and inviting, while study and meeting rooms provide space for privacy and collaboration. Technology has improved, with nearly 300 computers and mobile devices available for public use.

If you have not had the chance to visit the new Central Library, I recommend you do so. Tours are offered to all, reservations for which can be made at https://www.supportmylibrary.org/library-tour/. I am proud to live in a city that has devoted so much energy to creating a new library that is both beautiful and functional. It is a testament to the importance of literacy and community to San Diego.
ATTORNEY’S FEES RED ALERT: Plaintiff’s Appellate & Enforcement Attorney Fee Awards Are At Risk in a Case Pending Before the California Supreme Court.

By Carolina C. Rose

November 1, 2013

The California Legislature has adopted a number of attorney fee shifting statutes to protect individuals or groups who would not otherwise have access to justice. (E.g. Welf. & Inst. C. §§ 15675 & 15657.5 (elder abuse), CCP §1021.5 (private attorney general doctrine), CCP § 426.16 (anti-SLAPP). Gov. C. § 31536 (denial of county public retirement application), and Civil Code § 2983.4 (Rees Levering automobile retail contracts).)

However now all such plaintiffs’ appellate and enforcement related attorney’s fees are threatened in a case currently before the California Supreme Court. The case could even adversely affect contractually based awards. If the defendant prevails, the Enforcement of Judgments Law will cut off the plaintiff’s ability to move for all reasonable, post-judgment appellate and enforcement attorney’s fees because the defendant rushed to pay the original judgment early, before all such fees could be awarded as mandated under the Financial Elder Abuse law.

The plaintiff’s Supreme Court Brief was filed October 30, 2013. Following is the opening statement from that filing:

This is a case about access to justice for ... [plaintiff] ... (a mentally and physically disabled 78-year old, who cannot read or write), other victims of elder abuse and civil litigants whose goal is to right a wrong under a statute that authorizes attorney fees. Public policy requires an attorney fee shifting statute when without one, an individual or group would not have access to justice. In other words, they could not otherwise afford to right a wrong. In essence, attorney fee shifting statutes are society’s safety net to protect those who need it most.

After a jury trial, ... [plaintiff] ... prevailed in an action for financial elder abuse ... Essentially, defendant, an attorney, intentionally defrauded an old woman with the competency of a child. Plaintiff also prevailed in defending the judgment on defendant’s first appeal, and successfully brought a separate lawsuit against defendant to prevent her from transferring real property to third parties in an attempt to avoid satisfaction of the judgment. As a result, defendant paid the judgment. [Emphasis added.]

Notably only the original judgment was paid. This is relevant because the Enforcement of Judgment statutes relied upon by the defendant require full satisfaction of the judgment (CCP Code §§ 658.070 and 685.080) in order to bar payment of attorney’s fees as specified. Thus, at best, the defendant only made a partial payment because the judgment had not yet been amended to incorporate all the mandatory attorney’s fees and costs required under the financial elder abuse statute, Welf. & Inst. C. §15657.5 (a) which states in relevant part:

15657.5. (a) Where it is proven by a preponderance of the evidence that a defendant is liable for financial abuse, as defined in Section 15610.30, in addition to compensatory damages and all other remedies otherwise provided by law, the court shall award to the plaintiff reasonable attorney’s fees and costs. [Emphasis added.]

After the defendant paid the original judgment, the plaintiff moved for reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred in the appeal and separate lawsuit. The Alameda County Superior Court granted the victim plaintiff’s motion for fees (not
costs). But on de novo review, the First District Court of Appeal, 4th Division, reversed in an unpublished opinion (Feb. 2, 2013, Case No. A34337.)

By agreeing with the defendant, the 1st DCA in effect found that the Enforcement of Judgment’s Law barred the elder abuse victim from seeking all reasonable post-judgment appellate and enforcement related attorney’s fees and costs under Welf. & Inst. C. § 15657.5, because the frail victim’s abuser had rushed to pay the original trial court judgment before all such fees could even be determined and applied for in a timely manner. According to the 1st DCA, the plaintiff’s motion for such fees was untimely because it followed defendant’s full satisfaction of the original judgment.

The official issue before the California Supreme Court is as follows: “Is a trial court award of statutorily-mandated fees and costs incurred on appeal subject to the Enforcement of Judgments Statutes (Code Civ. Proc., § 685.040 et seq.) if the statutory authority underlying the award is the Elder Abuse Act (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 15600 et seq.)?

Even though the issue is narrowly framed to only address the Elder Abuse Act, if the Supreme Court upholds the 1st DCA’s ruling, it will encourage all defendants such as the elder abuser defendant in the McQueen case whose evasive actions forced the plaintiff victim to undertake appellate and enforcement related actions in order to protect herself. Such defendants would be handed a template for violating the underlying protective statutory schemes with impunity:

- As long as plaintiffs could not be assured of their ability to recover all necessary and reasonable post-judgment appellate and enforcement related attorney’s fees, defendants would have an incentive to take any and all steps to avoid satisfying the original judgment knowing that their victims would never be able to pay the related appellate and enforcement fees out of their own pockets.

- Such defendants would not even have to rush to satisfy the original judgment early to avoid a potential downstream award of post-judgment appellate and enforcement attorney’s fees. As long as such defendants had the right to pay the original judgment early and thus avoid responsibility for all post-judgment attorney’s fees, plaintiffs would be strongly discouraged from incurring them in the first place.

The legislative history of the elder abuse attorney fee shifting statute reveals that it was adopted, in major part, to provide an incentive for attorneys to take such cases in order to protect a vulnerable and needy class of persons.

Subdivisions (a), (h) and (j) of Welf. & Inst. Code § 15600 read as follows:

(a) The Legislature recognizes that elders and dependent adults may be subjected to abuse, neglect, or abandonment and that this state has a responsibility to protect these persons. ... (Emphasis added.)

(h) The Legislature further finds and declares that infirm elderly persons and dependent adults are a disadvantaged class, that cases of abuse of these persons are seldom prosecuted as criminal matters, and few civil cases are brought in connection with this abuse due to problems of proof, court delays, and the lack of incentives to prosecute these suits. (Emphasis added.) ...

(j) It is further the intent of the Legislature ... to enable interested persons to engage attorneys to take up the cause of abused elderly persons and dependent adults. (Emphasis added.)

The 1991 California Legislature adopted the state’s first mandatory elder abuse attorney’s fees and costs law applicable to physical abuse, neglect and “fiduciary” abuse in Welfare & Institutions Code Section 15657 (a) in Stats. 1991, c. 774 (SB 679), Sec. 3. Thirteen years later in 2004, § 15657 was amended to strike the fiduciary abuse terms in 15657 and a new § 15657.5 was added to require the same “reasonable attorney’s fees and costs” for elder “financial abuse” cases. (Stats. 2004, c. 886 (AB 2611) Sec. 3. Sec. 4.).

The 1991 legislative history reveals that the primary problem addressed by the Legislature in SB 679 was that the award
of attorney’s fees and costs in all elder abuse cases was only discretionary, not mandatory. Furthermore, such attorney’s fees could only be awarded out of the victims’ award which was often small to begin with. Also, elder abuse cases were often hard to prove. The 1991 Legislature believed that these problems impeded victims’ ability to sue successfully because there was insufficient incentive for plaintiffs’ attorneys to take such cases on contingency. The remedy chosen by the 1991 Legislature to address these problems was to eliminate the malfunctioning discretionary method of awarding attorney’s fees and costs in such cases and to require the abusers to pay them. (E.g., see the Senate Judiciary Committee on SB 679 (Mello), reflecting “author’s amendments to be offered in committee”, published May 8, 1991, Hearing date: April 30, 1991, 1991-92 Regular Session.) (My company, Legislative Research & Intent LLC provided the plaintiff with legislative history research and consulting services.)

This incentive so carefully wrought by the 1991 Legislature to encourage attorneys to take elder abuse cases would at least be partially extinguished if the 1st DCA’s ruling is upheld by the California Supreme Court. Will that Court hand these types of defendants a sword, allowing them to argue that the Enforcement of Judgments Law cuts off their victims’ ability to move for all reasonable, post-judgment appellate or enforcement attorney’s fees whenever a defendant rushes to satisfy the original judgment first, before a court has the opportunity to award such fees? If so, the Legislature would probably be urged to clarify and reaffirm its original intent by repudiating the decision.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR. Carolina Rose (J.D., Stanford, 1976) is owner and President of Legislative Research & Intent LLC (LRI) which has researched the history and intent of more than 10,000 enactments for over 1,500 clients since 1983 (formerly Legislative Research, Inc.). Previously she worked for approximately 7 years in the California Legislature where she was responsible for over 200 bills. Ms. Rose is a recognized expert in the reconstruction of California legislative, regulatory and constitutional history and has written expert witness opinions or provided testimony or consulting in over 100 cases at the administrative, trial and appellate levels. Her website offers complimentary online research and advocacy resources at www.lrihistory.com. She can be reached at 800.530.7613 or carolina.rose@lrihistory.com.

---

**Did you miss the SANDALL Fall Workshop on ‘Disintermediated Teaching and Learning’?**

- Watch the recordings via the SANDALL website: [http://sandallnet.org/](http://sandallnet.org/)
- Click ‘News and Events’, then ‘Educational Event Materials’
SANDALL Buddies Report

By Rachel Green

When I first "washed up on the shore of librarianship" (as a friend of mine recently described it!), I imagined myself eventually working in an academic or government law library. After all, one of the primary reasons I wanted to leave the practice of law was to avoid the conflict and stress of being around lawyers all day! As time has passed, however, I have often wondered whether a law firm librarian position may be a perfect blend of what I enjoy: legal research that challenges me intellectually, and helping others. I was ecstatic when Betsy Chessler offered the opportunity to shadow her at Morrison & Foerster.

The day started out somewhat the same as in an academic library: we chatted with colleagues to find out what was going on that day and how we might help, we checked email to see if any requests had been received. Then we worked on marketing materials, something that was entirely new to me: some attorneys were meeting with current/potential clients and needed information on company stats, past/present litigation, and related companies. We used many databases with which I was entirely unfamiliar, and for a while, I wondered whether we would do anything that resembled the librarianship I knew... But then we received a fascinating research question about a new development in patent law - an attorney wanted recent articles on the particular topic. All of a sudden, my good friends HeinOnline and Google Scholar made an appearance. We were sifting through government websites and scholarly publications, utilizing the search tools and techniques I've been refining over the past almost-decade of my life.

What I learned overall is that I truly love law librarianship -- in any and all forms. Law firm librarianship may not include some of the elements I love (teaching students, for example), but it also avoids some drawbacks (handling public patrons who try to trick me into dispensing legal advice!). Law firm requests have the potential to truly challenge my intellect and engage me in matters that have real-life and real-time consequences for clients and the community. So while the academic law library will always hold a most special place in my heart, I'm thrilled to admit that there may be a little room leftover for law firms, too! Thank you, Betsy, for an eye-opening and enlightening day (and delicious lunch)!

---

Leigh Inman has been named Director of the Library & Assistant Professor of Law at Thomas Jefferson School of Law

Robert Wickman has been named Thomas Jefferson’s new Serials Librarian.
The “Intent” of Codes: Why Bother Finding It?

Most law librarians have more than a passing familiarity with the research field known as “legislative intent.” The following notes have been assembled to refresh memories, give a well-meaning head’s up to all the newbies, and provide a few important tips for practitioners in code rich areas regarding the intent, purpose or meaning of a California code section as guided by its legislative history.

Why bother? What’s the big deal about legislative history? In a nutshell, the big deal is that the courts routinely rely upon legislative history in the same way that they rely upon case law. Cases can actually be won or lost based upon what the legislative history of a statute says. However, not all attorneys are clued into this reality.

A few relevant points and authorities:

Three California code sections: (1) CCP Sec. 1859 states that “[i]n the “construction of a statute the intention of the Legislature...is to be pursued if possible.” Legislative history research makes it “possible.” (2) Evid. C. Sec. 452 (c) allows judicial notice of “official acts” of the Legislature, and is applicable to “legislative history.” (E.g., Monk v. Ehret (1923) 192 Cal. 186, 219.) (Note: The cases construing the above two sections cite specific types of legislative history records that the courts will consider.) (3) Gov. C. Sec. 9080 states that specific documents found in the named legislative bill files “provide evidence of legislative intent.”

A few of the California Supreme Court’s highest comfort zone records. Following are a few of the California Supreme Court’s highest comfort zone records in this area:


2. Enrolled reports to the Governor. “...we have routinely found enrolled bill reports, prepared by a responsible agency contemporaneous with passage and before signing, instructive on matters of legislative intent.” Eisner v. Uveges (2004) 34 Cal.4th 915, 934 fn.19

3. Bill versions. Is your opposing counsel trying to read terms or concepts into a code section even though the Legislature specifically rejected them? The only way to find out is to consult the published bill versions. Notably, the court pays significant attention to bill versions showing how a section’s language evolved during the legislative process. E.g., Varian Medical Systems, Inc. v. Delfino (2005) 35 Cal.4th 180, 194.

There are, of course, other records that help comprise a complete legislative history that the courts will also take cognizance of, including, but not limited to, legislative floor reports and even unpublished correspondence. The LRI website at www.lrihistory.com provides complimentary helps in this entire field.
Check out our website!
http://www.sandallnet.org
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